

**GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH**  
**ABSTRACT**

Police – Representation of Sri M.S.S.Papa Rao, ACP (Hqrs), CAR, Visakhapatnam City, (now retired) against the punishment of PPI for two years without effect on future increments and pension – **Allowed** – Orders – Issued.

---

**HOME (SERVICE-I) DEPARTMENT**

**G.O.Rt.No. 1540**

**Dated:28/08/2012**

**Read the following:-**

- 1) From Sri M.S.S.Papa Rao, ACP (Hqrs), CAR, Visakhapatnam City received through Sri Botcha Satyanarayana, Minister for Panchayat Raj, D.O.Lr.No.1182/M(PR)/2009, dated 22.9.2009 through M(Home) Endt.No.1819/M(Home)/09, dated 24.9.2009
- 2) From the C.P., Visakhapatnam City, D.O.Letter C.No.75/CP/Camp/VSP/09,dated 6.10.2009

o-o-o

**ORDER:**

In the reference 1<sup>st</sup> read above, Sri M.S.S.Papa Rao, ACP (Hqrs), CAR, Visakhapatnam City (Now retired) has requested to set-aside the punishment of “**PPI for two years without effect on future increments and pension**”, imposed by the D.G.P., Hyderabad, for the reasons mentioned therein.

**02.** Sri M.S.S.Papa Rao, while working in SPF, was handed upon a charge for the following delinquencies:-

**Article-I:-** While working in SPF, on the intervening night of 18/19.5.02, checked the Left Tower Guard as NS Dam and questioned HC 672 D.Rajababu, who appeared in civil dress as to why was not wearing the uniform while performing duty. During the exchange of words and heated arguments that followed, HC 672 Rajababu, beat the Inspector and the Inspector also in turn beat the HC 672 Mr.Rajababu with his lathi.

**Article-II:-** Inspector did not bother to follow the instructions issued by the Chief Engineer, NS Dam on the vigilance at night time. The Inspector has not attended to the duties regularly and was unavailable at the site. The E.E. (Dam Maintenance Division), NS Dam was of the opinion that the Inspector was of no use to the Unit.

**Article-III:-** It was also complained that the Inspector instructed the CT 1496 Mr. G.Vignesh to collect Rs.600/- from the personnel towards supply of uniform cloth. He has shown good quality of cloth before taking the money, afterwards, the second (lower) quality of cloth has been purchased and when the personnel objected to this, the CT and the Inspector forced the personnel to accept it. If the personal wanted to raise any objection or reported to the higher authorities, the Inspector threatened them with dire consequences.

**Article-IV:-** Though thefts took place at LCR Road laying work in the past by unidentified persons, the Inspector did not take any action to trace out the persons involved in the theft and did not submit a report to his inspections to the higher authorities.

**Article-V:-** Though instructions were given to the Inspector to inspect the site where private persons are cultivating the crops in the NS Dam premises and though the motor etc. have been seized, the Inspector did not inspect the site and only sent the ASI.

**Article-VI:-** On 1.3.2002 one tractor with trailer bearing No.9941 while carrying the material illegally was seized by the NS Dam staff and reported to the Inspector for taking further action. The NS Dam staff only handed over the seized tractor to the Police Station.

**Article-VII:-** As per instructions of E.E., NS Dam, the A.E., approached the Inspector and informed him that a large number of villagers are using blasting material to catch fish at LCR and to take necessary action. But the Inspector informed the A.E., that it is the matter of law and order and he could do nothing.

The Inspector took lot of time to reach the spot. By the time the E.E., reached there and asked the A.E., the reasons for taking abnormal time in reaching the spot, the A.E., informed that the Inspector was reluctant to assist them even in such emergency. The E.E., indicated that daily he used to inspect the Dam site by 9.00 AM, but he never found the Inspector anywhere near the site. The E.E., also indicated in his report that had he not reached the spot on time, the villagers could have carried out the blasting near LCR gate or near the entrance to the tunnel resulting in a catastrophic loss of human life and Govt. property.

**Article-VIII:-** News items were published in the local dailies i.e. Andhra Bhoomi, Vaartha, Eenadu and Prajatantra dated 12<sup>th</sup> & 13<sup>th</sup> May, 2002 regarding allowing the type of vehicles to pass through the NS Dam. But, the Inspector did not provide the information to the E.E.

**Article-IX:-** The E.E., reported in his statement that on 14.5.02, a fire accident took place due to short circuiting of power cables. The Inspector himself brought the media persons and high-lighted that the Inspector, SPF only took the initiative to put off the flames in the fire accident and pointed out that no NS Dam employees intervened to put off the flames. The Inspector thus praised his own work which was incorrect and also defamed the employees of the NS Dam.

Being a responsible officer looking after the unit independently, the Charged Officer misbehaved and failed to discharge his duties. The image of force has been tarnished by the above acts. Further, he violated rule-3 of APCS (Conduct) Rules, 1964.

03. Sri Govind Singh, IPS, the then D.I.G., Greyhounds, who was appointed as Enquiry Officer has conducted the O.E. and submitted his findings holding **Articles-III, IV, V, VI & VII as 'Proved'** and Articles-I, II, VIII & IX as 'Not Proved' against the charged officer. The D.G.P., Hyderabad, after following due procedure prescribed under APCS (CC&A) Rules, awarded the punishment of **'PPI for two years without effect on future increments and pension** on the Charged Officer.

04. Aggrieved by the said orders, the Charged Officer has preferred an appeal petition to the Government, requesting to set aside the above said punishment. His **appeal petition** was examined in detail in consultation with the D.G.P., Hyderabad and the same was **rejected** by the Government, vide Memo No.32485/ Ser.I/ A2/2008-2, dated 17.7.2009.

05. Now, the individual has again submitted **another** representation dated 18.9.2009 to the Govt., requesting to set aside the above said punishment of **'PPI for two years with effect on future increments and pension'**, for the reasons mentioned therein.

06. After careful examination of the entire matter, with reference to the records made available, by taking the representation into consideration, Government hereby **set aside** the above said punishment of **PPI for two years without effect on future increments and pension**, imposed on Sri M.S.S.Papa Rao, ACP (Hqrs), CAR, Visakhapatnam City (now retired).

4. The Director General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad, is requested to take necessary follow up action in the matter.

**(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)**

AJOYENDRA PYAL  
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To  
The Director General of Police,  
A.P., Hyderabad (w.e)  
The Individual concerned  
*through* Director General of Police,  
A.P., Hyderabad.  
SF/SC

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER